

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,665	12/16/2003	Jun Fujimoto	246666US2	2851	
OBLON SPIX	7590 06/04/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			ELAHEE, MD S		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2614			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/735,665	FUJIMOTO, JUN				
Examiner	Art Unit				
MD S. ELAHEE	2614				

	MD S. ELAHEE	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whi	chover ie later - Ir				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		.,,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-11</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. \( \textstyle The request for reconsideration has been considered but Regrding claim 1, the applicant argues on page 18, lines user to obtain predetermined service. Examiner disagree the teaching of showing the user to obtain predetermined claims in view of Stenman. Ugawa and Matsumoto will n with respect to claim 1.	1-3, that Matsumoto does not tead es with this argument. Examiner rel d service (see fig.5; col.6, lines 1-2;	th or suggest instruction of suggest instruction of the suggest instruction	on showing the to teach only fo ction of the				

12. ⊠ Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>04/25/2008</u>
13. □ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/MD S ELAHEE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2614

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080529